# ВЕСТНИК ОШСКОГО ГОСУДАРСТВЕННОГО УНИВЕРСИТЕТА МАТЕМАТИКА, ФИЗИКА, ТЕХНИКА. 2023, №2

### МАТЕМАТИКА

УДК 517.51, 517.98

https://doi.org/10.52754/16948645 2023 2 180

## GROUND STATES FOR THE SOS MODEL WITH COMPETING BINARY INTERACTIONS ON A CAYLEY TREE OF ORDER THREE

Rahmatullaev Muzaffar Muhammadjonovich., DSc, professor,

mrahmatullaev@rambler.ru

Institute of Mathematics named after V.I. Romanovsky

of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan,

Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Abraev Bunyod Urinboevich, PhD student

abrayev89@mail.ru

Chirchik state pedagogical university,

Chirchik, Uzbekistan.

Abstract: We consider a SOS (solid-on-solid) model with nearest-neighbor interaction  $J_1$ , prolonged next-nearest-neighbor interaction  $J_2$  and one level next-nearest-neighbor interaction  $J_3$ , where the spin takes values in the set  $\Phi = \{0,1,2\}$  on a Cayley tree of order three. In the paper, we study translation-invariant and periodic ground states of the model SOS.

**Keywords:** Cayley tree, configuration, competing next-nearest-neighbor interactions, translation-invariant and periodic ground state.

## ОСНОВНЫЕ СОСТОЯНИЯ ДЛЯ МОДЕЛИ SOS С КОНКУРИРУЮЩИМИ БИНАРНЫМИ ВЗАИМОДЕЙСТВИЯМИ НА ДЕРЕВЕ КЭЛИ ПОРЯДКА ТРИ

Рахматуллаев Музаффар Мухаммаджанович, д.ф.-м.н., профессор,

mrahmatullaev@rambler.ru

Институт Математики имени В.И. Романовского

Академии Наук Республики Узбекистан,

Наманган, Узбекистан

Абраев Бунёд Уринбоевич, PhD докторант,

Чирчикский государственный педагогический университет,

Аннотация: Мы рассматриваем модель SOS (solid-on-solid) с взаимодействием ближайших соседей  $J_1$ , длительным взаимодействием следующих ближайших соседей  $J_2$  и одноуровневым взаимодействием следующих ближайших соседей  $J_3$ , где спин принимает значения в множестве  $\Phi = \{0,1,2\}$  на дереве Кэли порядка три. В статье исследуются трансляционно-инвариантные и периодические основные состояния модели SOS.

**Ключевые слова**: Дерево Кэли, конфигурация, конкурирующие взаимодействия ближайших соседей, трансляционно-инвариантное и периодическое основное состояние.

It is known that a phase diagram of Gibbs measures for a Hamiltonian is close to the phase diagram of isolated (stable) ground states of this Hamiltonian. At low temperatures, a periodic ground state corresponds to a periodic Gibbs measure (see, e.g., [1]). It leads us to investigate the problem of description of periodic and weakly periodic ground states. In this paper, we study periodic ground states for the SOS model with nearest-neighbor and competing binary interactions on a Cayley tree of order three.

Let  $\Gamma^k = (V, L)$  be the Cayley tree of order  $k \ge 1$ , i.e., an infinite tree such that exactly k+1 edges are incident to each vertex. Here V is the set of vertices and L is the set of edges of  $\Gamma^k$ . It is known (see [2]) that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set V of vertices of the Cayley tree of order  $k \ge 1$  and the group  $G_k$  of the free products of k+1 cyclic groups  $\{e,a_i\}$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,k+1$  of the second order (i.e.  $a_i^2=e$ ,  $a_i^{-1}=a_i$ ) with generators  $a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{k+1}$ .

For an arbitrary vertex  $x^0 \in V$ , we put

$$W_n = \{x \in V \mid d(x, x^0) = n\}, V_n = \{x \in V \mid d(x, x^0) \le n\},\$$

where d(x,y) is a natural distance, being the number of nearest-neighbor pairs of the minimal path between the vertices x and y.  $L_n$  denotes the set of edges in  $V_n$ . The fixed vertex  $x^0$  is called the 0-th level and the vertices in  $W_n$  are called the n-th level. For the sake of simplicity, we put  $|x| = d(x, x^0)$ ,  $x \in V$ .

Two vertices  $x, y \in V$  are called the next-nearest-neighbour neighbors if d(x, y) = 2. The next-nearest-neighbour vertices x and y are called prolonged next-nearest-neighbours if  $|x| \neq |y|$  and is denoted by  $\langle x, y \rangle$ . The next-nearest-neighbour vertices  $x, y \in V$  that are not prolonged are called one-level next-nearest-neighbours since |x| = |y| and are denoted by  $\langle x, y \rangle$ .

For each  $x \in G_k$ , let S(x) denote the set of direct successors of x, i.e., if  $x \in W_n$  then  $S(x) = \{y \in W_{n+1} : d(x,y) = 1\}$ . For each  $x \in G_k$ , let  $S_1(x)$  denote the set of all neighbors of x, i.e.,  $S_1(x) = \{y \in G_k : \langle x,y \rangle \in L\}$ . The set  $S_1(x) \setminus S(x)$  is a singleton. Let  $x_{\downarrow}$  denote the (unique) element of this set.

Let us assume that the spin values belong to the set  $\Phi = \{0,1,2,...,m\}$ . A function  $\sigma$ :  $x \in V \to \sigma(x) \in \Phi$  is called configuration on V. The set of all configurations coincides with the set  $\Omega = \Phi^V$ .

Consider the quotient group  $G_k / G_k^* = \{H_1, H_2, ..., H_r\}$ , where  $G_k^*$  is a normal subgroup of index r with  $r \ge 1$ .

**Definition 1.** A configuration  $\sigma(x)$ ,  $x \in V$  is said to be  $G_k^*$ -periodic, if  $\sigma(x) = \sigma_i$  for all  $x \in H_i$ . A  $G_k$ -periodic configuration is called translation invariant.

The period of a periodic configuration is the index of the corresponding normal subgroup.

The Hamiltonian of the SOS model with competing nearest-neighbour and next-nearest-neighbour binary interactions has the form:

$$H(\sigma) = -J_1 \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle \in L} |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| -J_3 \sum_{\substack{\langle x, y \rangle : \\ x, y \in V}} |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)|,$$

$$-J_2 \sum_{\substack{\langle x, y \rangle : \\ x \neq V}} |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)|$$
(1)

where  $(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

We define the energy of the configuration  $\sigma_b$  on b by the following formula

$$U(\sigma_{b}, J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}) = -\frac{1}{2} J_{1} \sum_{\substack{\langle x, y \rangle : \\ x, y \in b}} |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| -J_{2} \sum_{\substack{\langle x, y \rangle : \\ x, y \in b}} |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)|$$

$$-J_{3} \sum_{\substack{\langle x, y \rangle : \\ x, y \in b}} (|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)|),$$

$$(2)$$

where  $(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

In [4] we studied ground state for SOS model with competing nearest-neighbour and next-nearest-neighbour binary interactions on a Cayley tree of order two.

We consider the case k = 3.

Let m=2. By (2) for any  $\sigma_b$  we have  $U(\sigma_b) \in \{U_1, U_2, U_3, ..., U_{24}\}$ , where

$$\begin{split} U_1 &= 0, U_2 = -\frac{1}{2}J_1 - 3J_2, U_3 = -\frac{1}{2}J_1 - J_2 - 2J_3, U_4 = -J_1 - 2J_2 - 2J_3, \\ U_5 &= -J_1 - 6J_2, U_6 = -J_1 - 2J_2 - 4J_3, U_7 = -\frac{3}{2}J_1 - 3J_2, \ U_8 = -\frac{3}{2}J_1 - J_2 - 2J_3, \\ U_9 &= -2J_1 - 4J_2 - 4J_3, U_{10} = -3J_1 - 6J_2, U_{11} = -3J_1 - 2J_2 - 4J_3, \\ U_{12} &= -2J_1 - 4J_2 - 2J_3, U_{13} = -2J_1 - 2J_2 - 4J_3, U_{14} = -\frac{5}{2}J_1 - 5J_2 - 2J_3, \\ U_{15} &= -\frac{5}{2}J_1 - 3J_2 - 4J_3, U_{16} = -\frac{3}{2}J_1 - 5J_2 - 2J_3, \ U_{17} = -\frac{3}{2}J_1 - 3J_2 - 4J_3, \\ U_{18} &= -2J_1, U_{19} = -4J_1, U_{20} = -\frac{7}{2}J_1 - 3J_2, U_{21} = -\frac{7}{2}J_1 - J_2 - 2J_3, \\ U_{22} &= -\frac{5}{2}J_1 - 3J_2, U_{23} = -\frac{5}{2}J_1 - J_2 - 2J_3, U_{24} = -3J_1 - 2J_2 - 2J_3. \end{split}$$

**Definition 2.** A configuration  $\varphi$  is called a ground state for the Hamiltonian (1), if  $U(\varphi_b) = \min\{U_1, U_2, U_3, ..., U_{24}\}$  for  $\forall b \in M$ .

For  $i = \overline{1,24}$  we put

$$C_i = \{\sigma_b : U(\sigma_b) = U_i\} \text{ and } A_m = \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | U_m = \min_{1 \le k \le 2d} \{U_k\}\}.$$

Quite cumbersome, but not difficult calculations show that:

$$\begin{split} A_1 &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \leq 0; J_2 \leq -\frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 \leq -\frac{1}{4}J_1 - \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_2 &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \leq 0; J_2 = -\frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 \leq J_2\}, \\ A_3 &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \leq 0; J_2 \leq -\frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 = -\frac{1}{4}J_1 - \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_4 &= A_{12} = A_{24} = \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \leq 0; J_2 \geq -\frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 \leq -\frac{1}{4}J_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_5 &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \leq 0; J_2 \geq -\frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 \leq -\frac{1}{4}J_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_6 &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \leq 0; J_2 \leq -\frac{1}{2}J_1; J_3 \geq -\frac{1}{4}J_1 - \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_7 &= A_{22} = \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 = 0; J_2 \leq 0; J_3 \leq 0\}, \\ A_8 &= A_{23} = \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 = 0; J_2 \leq 0; J_3 \geq \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_9 &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 = 0; J_2 \geq 0; J_3 \geq \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{10} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \geq 0; J_2 \geq \frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 \leq \frac{1}{4}J_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{11} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \geq 0; J_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}J_1; J_3 \geq \frac{1}{4}J_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{14} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \geq 0; J_2 \geq 0; J_3 = \frac{1}{4}J_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{15} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \geq 0; J_2 \geq 0; J_3 = -\frac{1}{4}J_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{16} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \leq 0; J_2 \geq 0; J_3 = -\frac{1}{4}J_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{17} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \leq 0; J_2 \geq 0; J_3 = -\frac{1}{4}J_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{18} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \leq 0; J_2 \leq 0; J_3 \leq -\frac{1}{2}J_1; J_3 \geq J_2\}, \\ A_{19} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \geq 0; J_2 \leq \frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 \leq \frac{1}{4}J_1 - \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{20} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \geq 0; J_2 \leq \frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 \leq \frac{1}{4}J_1 - \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{21} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \geq 0; J_2 \leq \frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 \leq \frac{1}{4}J_1 - \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{21} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \geq 0; J_2 \leq \frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 \leq \frac{1}{4}J_1 - \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{21} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \ J_1 \geq 0; J_2 \leq \frac{1}{6}J_1; J_3 \leq \frac{1}{4}J_1 - \frac{1}{2}J_2\}, \\ A_{21} &= \{(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \$$

and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{24} A_i = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let  $H_A = \{x \in G_k : \sum_{i \in A} \omega_x(a_i) - \text{even}\}$ , where  $A \subset \{1, 2, 3, ..., k+1\}$  and  $\omega_x(a_i)$  is the number of  $a_i$  in the word x. If |A| = k+1, then  $H_A \equiv G_k^{(2)} = \{x \in G_k : |x| - \text{even}\}$ , where |x| is length of the word x.

Note that  $H_A$  is a normal subgroup of index two (see [2]). Let  $G_k / H_A = \{H_A, G_k \setminus H_A\}$  be the quotient group. Denote  $H_0 = H_A, H_1 = G_k \setminus H_A$ .

Now, we shall study  $H_0$ -periodic ground states. We note that each  $H_0$ -periodic configuration has the following form:

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1, & \text{if } x \in H_0, \\ \sigma_2, & \text{if } x \in H_1, \end{cases}$$
 (3)

where  $\sigma_i \in \Phi$ , i = 1, 2.

**Theorem 1.** a) Let k=3 and |A|=1. Then for the model (1) the following statements hold:

- i) If  $(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in A_1$  then each translation invariant configuration is a ground state.
- ii) If  $(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in A_2 \cap A_3$  then each  $H_0$ -periodic configuration of the form (3) with  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \pm 1 \ \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Phi$ , is a ground state.
- iii) If  $(J_1, J_2, J_3) \in A_5 \cap A_6$  then each  $H_0$ -periodic configuration of the form (3) with  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \pm 2 \ \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Phi$ , is a ground state.
- b) Let k=3 and |A|=2. If  $(J_1,J_2,J_3)\in A_9$  then each  $H_0$ -periodic configuration of the form (3) with  $\sigma_1=\sigma_2\pm 2$   $\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in \Phi$ , is a ground state.
- c) Let k=3 and |A|=3. If  $(J_1,J_2,J_3)\in A_{10}\cap A_{11}$  then each  $H_0$ -periodic configuration of the form (3) with  $\sigma_1=\sigma_2\pm 2\ \sigma_1,\sigma_2\in \Phi$ , is a ground state.
  - d) Let k = 3 and |A| = 4.
- i) If  $(J_1,J_2,J_3)\in A_{18}$  then each  $G_k^{(2)}$ -periodic configuration of the form (3) with  $\sigma_1=\sigma_2\pm 1\ \sigma_1,\sigma_2\in \Phi$ , is a ground state.
- ii) If  $(J_1,J_2,J_3)\in A_{19}$  then each  $G_k^{(2)}$ -periodic configuration of the form (3) with  $\sigma_1=\sigma_2\pm 2\ \sigma_1,\sigma_2\in \Phi$ , is a ground state.

#### Remark 1.

- 1) Note that applying the methods of [3], one can construct some periodic ground states which are different from the ground states mentioned in Theorem 1.
- 2) Let k=3 and  $A_1=l, l=2,3$ . If  $\sigma_1=\sigma_2\pm 1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\in \Phi$  then the configuration (3) is not an  $H_0$ -periodic ground state.

#### REFERENCE

- 1. Sinai Ya. G., Theory of phase transitions: rigorous results, / Ya. G. Sinai Science, M. 1980.
- 2. Rozikov U.A., Gibbs measures on Cayley trees, / U.A. Rozikov World Scientific, Singapore, 2013.
- 3. Rahmatullaev M.M., Abraev B.U., On ground states for the SOS model with competing interactions / M.M.Rahmatullaev, B.U. Abraev // Journal of Siberian Federal University, 2022,

Vol 15. No.2 pp. 1-14.

4. Abraev B.U. Ground states for the SOS model with competing binary interactions on a Cayley tree / Abraev B.U. // Uzbek Mathematical Journal 2022, Volume 66, Issue 3, pp.10-20.